

Wraparound Fidelity Assessment System Document Review Measure

October 9, 2007 version



Scoring Code 3 - Met 2 – Mostly Met 1 – Some Met 0 – Not Met

Case ID - _____ Unit - _____ Facilitator - _____ Reviewer: _____ Date: _____

Item	Score	Comments
Strengths Discovery (SNCD)		
1. There is evidence that the youth and family were engaged in the service delivery process within seven days of the referral.		
2. The family and youth’s needs or concerns are identified across life domains.		
3. Documentation identifies and prioritizes the needs (not deficits) for the child and family.		
4. There is a clearly articulated long range vision of the youth and family.		
5. Detailed examples of family and youth strengths, assets, and resources are included to support areas of priority need.		
6. Specific examples of family and youth culture, values and beliefs are included to clarify areas of priority need.		
7. Documentation identifies extended family members, friends, and others who have in the past and/or who are currently providing needed support to the family and youth.		
8. There is evidence that a strengths, needs and culture discovery process was completed within 30 days of initial contact with the youth and family.		
Plan of Care, CFT Notes and/or SNCD		
9. The initial wraparound plan was developed within 30 days of initial contact with the child and family		
10. Planning and implementation with this child and family is being done through a child and family team.		

FOR AUTHORIZED USE ONLY – DO NOT DISTRIBUTE – FOR USE ONLY IN PILOT TESTING COMMUNITIES

Wraparound Fidelity Assessment System

Document Review Measure

October 9, 2007 version



Scoring Code	3 - Met	2 – Mostly Met	1 – Some Met	0 – Not Met
Item	Score	Comments		
11. There is a clearly articulated team mission for the youth and family team that defines what they will accomplish through wraparound.				
12. The wraparound plan or other documentation specifies the goals that will help the family reach their long range vision.				
13. There is evidence that natural supports are actively involved in the planning process or there are ongoing and persistent efforts to identify and engage natural supports.				
14. There is evidence that the wraparound plan is updated at each team meeting or as needed				
Plan of Care or CFT Notes				
15. The services, supports, and action plans for the child and family are coordinated through an integrated wraparound plan.				
16. The plan includes goals or objectives that are measurable with specific measurement strategies.				
17. Services, supports, and action plans are based on the needs of the youth and family not availability of services or supports that are needed				
18. There is evidence that the team brainstorms options to address goals.				
19. The wraparound plan and crisis/safety plan(s) are a mix of strategies (including natural supports) that are clearly coordinated for the child/family.				
20. The wraparound plan includes strategies to maintain or transition the youth to the least restrictive environment possible.				
21. Documentation indicates that services and supports are based on the strengths of the youth and family				

FOR AUTHORIZED USE ONLY – DO NOT DISTRIBUTE – FOR USE ONLY IN PILOT TESTING COMMUNITIES

Copyright 2006 Wraparound Evaluation and Research Team / Eric J. Bruns, Ph.D., University of Washington; 206-685-2477; depts.washington.edu/wrapeval

Wraparound Fidelity Assessment System Document Review Measure

October 9, 2007 version



Scoring Code	3 - Met	2 – Mostly Met	1 – Some Met	0 – Not Met
Item	Score	Comments		
22. Services and supports are based on the culture of the youth and family.				
23. The wraparound plan includes opportunities for the youth to engage in community activities that he or she likes and does well.				
24. Transition planning documentation identifies needs, services and supports that will continue to need attention after formal wraparound ends or when the youth transitions to the adult service system.				
Crisis Plan or CFT Notes				
25. The crisis plan includes strategies for preventing potential crises and is based on a comprehensive functional assessment.				
26. The crisis plan identifies signs or behaviors that indicate the crisis is beginning and ways to identify and deescalate crisis situations.				
27. The crisis plan includes specific steps to be taken if the crisis occurs and assigns responsibility for who will do each step				
Progress or CFT Notes				
28. There is evidence that the family and youth's successes are identified and celebrated by the team.				
29. Documentation indicates that the wraparound plan is being at least partially implemented by natural supports for the child and family.				
30. There is evidence that the family and/or youth are making decisions about the direction and methods of the team.				
31. There is evidence that the people working with the child and family are working together to provide coordinated services and supports.				

FOR AUTHORIZED USE ONLY – DO NOT DISTRIBUTE – FOR USE ONLY IN PILOT TESTING COMMUNITIES

Copyright 2006 Wraparound Evaluation and Research Team / Eric J. Bruns, Ph.D., University of Washington; 206-685-2477; depts.washington.edu/wrapeval

Wraparound Fidelity Assessment System

Document Review Measure

October 9, 2007 version



Scoring Code	3 - Met	2 – Mostly Met	1 – Some Met	0 – Not Met
Item	Score	Comments		
32. There is documentation that progress toward goals and action steps has been monitored.				
33. There is evidence that the child and family are making progress towards their goals.				

FOR AUTHORIZED USE ONLY – DO NOT DISTRIBUTE – FOR USE ONLY IN PILOT TESTING COMMUNITIES

Copyright 2006 Wraparound Evaluation and Research Team / Eric J. Bruns, Ph.D., University of Washington; 206-685-2477; depts.washington.edu/wrapeval