Appendix A: **Self-Assessment Of Strengths And Needs**

Community Groundwork for Wraparound Implementation: A Self-Assessment of Strengths and Needs

Theme 1: Community partnership	Is this happening?	
An initial group of stakeholders has come together and made a firm commitment to moving forward with wraparound implementation	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT	
This group currently includes, or is actively reaching out to		
family members and youth and/or young adults who are "system experienced" including any family or youth support/ advocacy organizations in the community	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT	

Theme 1, continued

representatives of key funders and key child- and family-serving organizations	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
agency and organization leaders who are able to commit resources and lead efforts to change policies	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Theme total (sum of four items):	

Theme 2: Collaborative activity	Is this happening?
The people who are planning for wraparound implementation	
have a solid understanding of—and commitment to—wraparound principles and practice	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
are committed to making changes in their own organizations and in the larger system	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
have reached a decision regarding who will be eligible for wraparound	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
are clear about the desired outcomes they hope to achieve	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Theme total (sum of four items):	

Theme 3: Fiscal Policies and Sustainability	Is this happening?
The people who are planning wraparound implementation have a basic understanding of what will need to be funded and approximately how much it will cost to fund the following core wraparound needs:	
Key staff roles, including facilitators, family partners, youth partners, supervisors and administrators	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Training, coaching and supervision for key staff roles	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
IT or data management systems to track utilization, administrative data, and wraparound plans, progress and outcomes	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
People who are planning wraparound implementation understand the basic models and options for achieving adequate, stable funding for the wraparound effort	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Theme total (sum of four items):	

Theme 4. Access to Needed Services and Supports	Is this happening?
The people who are planning for wraparound implementation	
have knowledge about the array of services that is typically needed for wraparound programs, including non-traditional services and supports, and are actively strategizing about how to fill gaps in the array	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
understand the role that informal and community supports play in wraparound, and are actively strategizing about how to increase community capacity to build and use such supports	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
understand the importance of peer support in wraparound, and are actively strategizing about how to ensure access to peer support	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
are actively strategizing about how to build community capacity to create completely individualized supports for youth, caregivers, and family members	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Theme total (sum of four items):	

Theme 5. Human Resource Develop- ment and Support	Is this happening?
The people who are planning for wraparound implementation	
have a realistic understanding of what it takes to provide adequate training and coaching for key roles (facilitators, family/youth partners, supervisors), and are actively strategizing about how to ensure this for the wraparound project.	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
have a realistic understanding of typical staffing plans (including caseload sizes) that allow people in key roles (facilitators, family/youth partners, supervisors) sufficient time to provide high quality wraparound, and are actively strategizing about how to ensure this for the wraparound project.	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
have a realistic understanding of the structures and processes that are needed to ensure that people in key roles offer high quality supervision, and are actively strategizing about how to ensure this for the wraparound project	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
understand the need to get service providers and community partners "on board" with wrap- around, and are actively strategizing about how to do this	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Theme total (sum of four items):	

Theme 6. Accountability	Is this happening?
The people who are planning for wraparound implementation	
are exploring options for assessing progress and success in overall implementation of the wraparound project	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
are exploring options for measuring wrap- around quality and other process outcomes	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
are exploring options for measuring utilization, costs and expenditures	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
are exploring options for measuring child/ youth and family outcomes, including child/ youth and family satisfaction and other outcomes that families and youth care about	☐ 1=NOT REALLY ☐ 2=SOME ☐ 3=QUITE A BIT
Theme total (sum of four items):	